Exhibit C

Further Explanations of Administrator's Funding Decision

Issued to Morehouse, Richland and Webster

Undated (postmark October 13, 2006?)

Further Explanation of Administrator's Funding Decision Form 471 Application Number: 291953

Funding Request Numbers: 817045, 817085, 817658, 817708, 817992, 818088

Funding Year 2002 (07/01/2002 – 06/30/2003)

Under separate cover, you are being sent a Funding Commitment Decision Letter concerning the FCC Form 471 Application Number cited above. This Funding Commitment Decision Letter denies the Funding Request Numbers (FRNs).

Please be advised that the Funding Commitment Decision Letter is the official action on this application by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC). Please refer to that letter for instructions regarding how to appeal the Administrator's decision, if you wish to do so. The purpose of this letter is to provide you with additional information concerning the reasons for denial of these funding requests.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) granted the appeal of USAC's denial of the FRN cited above and remanded the funding requests to USAC for further review. The FCC directed USAC to "conduct further investigation and analysis prior to denying funding for suspected competitive bidding violations . . . and to provide applicants with an opportunity to demonstrate that they did not violate the Commission's competitive bidding rules." The Commission set forth its competitive bidding rules as follows:

¹ See Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Academy of Careers and Technology, et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, FCC 06-55 (2006) (Academy of Careers and Technology Order).

² 47 C.F.R. § 54.505.

³ If the technology plan has not been approved when the applicant files the Form 470, the applicant must certify that it understands that the technology plan must be approved prior to commencement of service. 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(2)(vii).

⁴ 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(4).

⁵ 47 C.F.R. § 54.511(a).

In this remand, the Commission concluded that "USAC denied the requests for funding without sufficiently determining that the service providers improperly participated in the applicants' bidding process." The Commission stated that "there are many legitimate reasons why applicants could have used similar language in their applications ... "9 As part of USAC's review of the applications, the Commission suggested that USAC "request that applicants submit documentation establishing the source of the language that is similar to that found in other applications." With respect to situations where due to the passage of time, such evidence may no longer be available, the Commission directed USAC to "look at the totality of the circumstances, including an explanation as to why the evidence may no longer be available. On a going-forward basis, we expect that applicants will have better documentation to support their applications."¹¹

USAC recently contacted each applicant and explained that for applicants who had chosen Send Technologies as their service provider, each entity provided documents with striking similarities to USAC to support their funding requests. For each type of document, USAC asked each applicant to explain how they prepared each type of document, to provide any supporting documentation, and to specify the individual who prepared the relevant documents.

In response to USAC's questions, you indicated that employees of your entity filled out and submitted the FCC Form 470 without assistance from a service provider. You further indicated that Mark Stevenson of Send Technologies mailed your FCC Form 470 certification to USAC. Another applicant whose FCC Form 470 contains identical Summaries of Needs or Services Requested has stated that Send Technologies assisted them in determining what services their entity sought bids for, as well as filling out and submitting the FCC Form 470.

⁶ See Request for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by MasterMind Internet Services, Inc., Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 16 FCC Rcd 4028, 4032-33, para. 10 (2000).

⁷ This form is to request discounts on those services and it contains the discount calculation worksheet and the discount funding request. The Form 471 generally must be filed each time a school or library orders telecommunications services, Internet access, or internal connections. See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.504, 54.511(c).

 $^{^{8}}$ Academy of Careers and Technology Order \P 6. 9 Id.

¹⁰ *Id.* ¶ 8.

¹¹ *Id.* ¶ 8 n.20.

Further Explanation of Administrator's Funding Decision Form 471 Application Numbers: 301743, 360815, 409404 Funding Request Numbers: 782233, 819080, 819270, 819319, 819485, 819629, 819783, 819884, 820088, 976694, 1122380 Funding Years 2002 (07/01/2002 - 06/30/2003), 2003 (07/01/2003 - 06/30/2004), and 2004 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Under separate cover, you are being sent a Funding Commitment Decision Letter concerning the FCC Form 471 Application Number cited above. This Funding Commitment Decision Letter denies the Funding Request Numbers (FRNs).

Please be advised that the Funding Commitment Decision Letter is the official action on this application by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC). Please refer to that letter for instructions regarding how to appeal the Administrator's decision, if you wish to do so. The purpose of this letter is to provide you with additional information concerning the reasons for denial of these funding requests.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) granted the appeal of USAC's denial of the FRN cited above and remanded the funding requests to USAC for further review. The FCC directed USAC to "conduct further investigation and analysis prior to denying funding for suspected competitive bidding violations . . . and to provide applicants with an opportunity to demonstrate that they did not violate the Commission's competitive bidding rules." The Commission set forth its competitive bidding rules as follows:

¹ See Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Academy of Careers and Technology, et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, FCC 06-55 (2006) (Academy of Careers and Technology Order).

² 47 C.F.R. § 54.505.

³ If the technology plan has not been approved when the applicant files the Form 470, the applicant must certify that it understands that the technology plan must be approved prior to commencement of service. 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(2)(vii).

⁴ 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(4).

⁵ 47 C.F.R. § 54.511(a).

In this remand, the Commission concluded that "USAC denied the requests for funding without sufficiently determining that the service providers improperly participated in the applicants' bidding process." The Commission stated that "there are many legitimate reasons why applicants could have used similar language in their applications . . ." As part of USAC's review of the applications, the Commission suggested that USAC "request that applicants submit documentation establishing the source of the language that is similar to that found in other applications." With respect to situations where due to the passage of time, such evidence may no longer be available, the Commission directed USAC to "look at the totality of the circumstances, including an explanation as to why the evidence may no longer be available. On a going-forward basis, we expect that applicants will have better documentation to support their applications." 11

USAC recently contacted each applicant and explained that for applicants who had chosen Send Technologies as their service provider, each entity provided documents with striking similarities to USAC to support their funding requests. For each type of document, USAC asked each applicant to explain how they prepared each type of document, to provide any supporting documentation, and to specify the individual who prepared the relevant documents.

In response to USAC's questions, you indicated that employees of your entity filled out and submitted the FCC Form 470 without assistance from a service provider. You further indicated that Mark Stevenson of Send Technologies mailed your FCC Form 470 certification to USAC. Another applicant whose FCC Form 470 contains identical Summaries of Needs or Services Requested has stated that Send Technologies assisted them in determining what services their entity sought bids for, as well as filling out and submitting the FCC Form 470.

⁶ See Request for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by MasterMind Internet Services, Inc., Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 16 FCC Rcd 4028, 4032-33, para. 10 (2000).

⁷ This form is to request discounts on those services and it contains the discount calculation worksheet and the discount funding request. The Form 471 generally must be filed each time a school or library orders telecommunications services, Internet access, or internal connections. See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.504, 54.511(c).

⁸ Academy of Careers and Technology Order ¶ 6.

Id.

¹⁰ *Id.* ¶ 8.

¹¹ *Id.* ¶ 8 n.20.

Further Explanation of Administrator's Funding Decision Form 471 Application Numbers: 301743, 360815, 409404

Funding Request Numbers: 782233, 819080, 819270, 819319, 819485, 819629, 819783,

819884, 820088, 976694, 1122380

Funding Years 2002 (07/01/2002 - 06/30/2003), 2003 (07/01/2003 - 06/30/2004), and

2004 (07/01/2004 – 06/30/2005)

Under separate cover, you are being sent a Funding Commitment Decision Letter concerning the FCC Form 471 Application Number cited above. This Funding Commitment Decision Letter denies the Funding Request Numbers (FRNs).

Please be advised that the Funding Commitment Decision Letter is the official action on this application by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC). Please refer to that letter for instructions regarding how to appeal the Administrator's decision, if you wish to do so. The purpose of this letter is to provide you with additional information concerning the reasons for denial of these funding requests.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) granted the appeal of USAC's denial of the FRN cited above and remanded the funding requests to USAC for further review. The FCC directed USAC to "conduct further investigation and analysis prior to denying funding for suspected competitive bidding violations . . . and to provide applicants with an opportunity to demonstrate that they did not violate the Commission's competitive bidding rules." The Commission set forth its competitive bidding rules as follows:

¹ See Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Academy of Careers and Technology, et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, FCC 06-55 (2006) (Academy of Careers and Technology Order).

² 47 C.F.R. § 54.505.

³ If the technology plan has not been approved when the applicant files the Form 470, the applicant must certify that it understands that the technology plan must be approved prior to commencement of service. 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(2)(vii).

⁴ 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(4).

⁵ 47 C.F.R. § 54.511(a).

In this remand, the Commission concluded that "USAC denied the requests for funding without sufficiently determining that the service providers improperly participated in the applicants' bidding process." The Commission stated that "there are many legitimate reasons why applicants could have used similar language in their applications . . ." As part of USAC's review of the applications, the Commission suggested that USAC "request that applicants submit documentation establishing the source of the language that is similar to that found in other applications." With respect to situations where due to the passage of time, such evidence may no longer be available, the Commission directed USAC to "look at the totality of the circumstances, including an explanation as to why the evidence may no longer be available. On a going-forward basis, we expect that applicants will have better documentation to support their applications."

USAC recently contacted each applicant and explained that for applicants who had chosen Send Technologies as their service provider, each entity provided documents with striking similarities to USAC to support their funding requests. For each type of document, USAC asked each applicant to explain how they prepared each type of document, to provide any supporting documentation, and to specify the individual who prepared the relevant documents.

In response to USAC's questions, you indicated that employees of your entity filled out and submitted the FCC Form 470 without assistance from a service provider. You further indicated that Mark Stevenson of Send Technologies mailed your FCC Form 470 certification to USAC. Another applicant whose FCC Form 470 contains identical Summaries of Needs or Services Requested has stated that Send Technologies assisted them in determining what services their entity sought bids for, as well as filling out and submitting the FCC Form 470.

⁶ See Request for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by MasterMind Internet Services, Inc., Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 16 FCC Rcd 4028, 4032-33, para. 10 (2000).

⁷ This form is to request discounts on those services and it contains the discount calculation worksheet and the discount funding request. The Form 471 generally must be filed each time a school or library orders telecommunications services, Internet access, or internal connections. See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.504, 54.511(c).

 $^{^8}$ Academy of Careers and Technology Order \P 6.

¹⁰ *Id.* ¶ 8.

¹¹ *Id.* ¶ 8 n.20.

Further Explanation of Administrator's Funding Decision
Form 471 Application Numbers: 301743, 360815, 409404
Funding Request Numbers: 782233, 819080, 819270, 819319, 819485, 819629, 819783, 819884, 820088, 976694, 1122380
Funding Years 2002 (07/01/2002 – 06/30/2003), 2003 (07/01/2003 – 06/30/2004), and 2004 (07/01/2004 – 06/30/2005)

Under separate cover, you are being sent a Funding Commitment Decision Letter concerning the FCC Form 471 Application Number cited above. This Funding Commitment Decision Letter denies the Funding Request Numbers (FRNs).

Please be advised that the Funding Commitment Decision Letter is the official action on this application by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC). Please refer to that letter for instructions regarding how to appeal the Administrator's decision, if you wish to do so. The purpose of this letter is to provide you with additional information concerning the reasons for denial of these funding requests.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) granted the appeal of USAC's denial of the FRN cited above and remanded the funding requests to USAC for further review. The FCC directed USAC to "conduct further investigation and analysis prior to denying funding for suspected competitive bidding violations . . . and to provide applicants with an opportunity to demonstrate that they did not violate the Commission's competitive bidding rules." The Commission set forth its competitive bidding rules as follows:

¹ See Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Academy of Careers and Technology, et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, FCC 06-55 (2006) (Academy of Careers and Technology Order).

² 47 C.F.R. § 54.505.

³ If the technology plan has not been approved when the applicant files the Form 470, the applicant must certify that it understands that the technology plan must be approved prior to commencement of service. 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(2)(vii).

⁴ 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(4).

⁵ 47 C.F.R. § 54.511(a).

In this remand, the Commission concluded that "USAC denied the requests for funding without sufficiently determining that the service providers improperly participated in the applicants' bidding process." The Commission stated that "there are many legitimate reasons why applicants could have used similar language in their applications . . ." As part of USAC's review of the applications, the Commission suggested that USAC "request that applicants submit documentation establishing the source of the language that is similar to that found in other applications." With respect to situations where due to the passage of time, such evidence may no longer be available, the Commission directed USAC to "look at the totality of the circumstances, including an explanation as to why the evidence may no longer be available. On a going-forward basis, we expect that applicants will have better documentation to support their applications." 11

USAC recently contacted each applicant and explained that for applicants who had chosen Send Technologies as their service provider, each entity provided documents with striking similarities to USAC to support their funding requests. For each type of document, USAC asked each applicant to explain how they prepared each type of document, to provide any supporting documentation, and to specify the individual who prepared the relevant documents.

In response to USAC's questions, you indicated that employees of your entity filled out and submitted the FCC Form 470 without assistance from a service provider. You further indicated that Mark Stevenson of Send Technologies mailed your FCC Form 470 certification to USAC. Another applicant whose FCC Form 470 contains identical Summaries of Needs or Services Requested has stated that Send Technologies assisted them in determining what services their entity sought bids for, as well as filling out and submitting the FCC Form 470.

⁶ See Request for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by MasterMind Internet Services, Inc., Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 16 FCC Rcd 4028, 4032-33, para. 10 (2000).

⁷ This form is to request discounts on those services and it contains the discount calculation worksheet and the discount funding request. The Form 471 generally must be filed each time a school or library orders telecommunications services, Internet access, or internal connections. See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.504, 54.511(c).

⁸ Academy of Careers and Technology Order ¶ 6.

⁹ Id.

¹⁰ *Id.* ¶ 8.

¹¹ *Id.* ¶ 8 n.20.

Further Explanation of Administrator's Funding Decision

Form 471 Application Number: 363968 Funding Request Number: 987500

Funding Year 2003 (07/01/2003 - 06/30/2004)

Under separate cover, you are being sent a Funding Commitment Decision Letter concerning the FCC Form 471 Application Number cited above. This Funding Commitment Decision Letter denies the Funding Request Number (FRN).

Please be advised that the Funding Commitment Decision Letter is the official action on this application by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC). Please refer to that letter for instructions regarding how to appeal the Administrator's decision, if you wish to do so. The purpose of this letter is to provide you with additional information concerning the reasons for denial of these funding requests.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) granted the appeal of USAC's denial of the FRN cited above and remanded the funding requests to USAC for further review. The FCC directed USAC to "conduct further investigation and analysis prior to denying funding for suspected competitive bidding violations . . . and to provide applicants with an opportunity to demonstrate that they did not violate the Commission's competitive bidding rules." The Commission set forth its competitive bidding rules as follows:

¹ See Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Academy of Careers and Technology, et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, FCC 06-55 (2006) (Academy of Careers and Technology Order).

² 47 C.F.R. § 54.505.

³ If the technology plan has not been approved when the applicant files the Form 470, the applicant must certify that it understands that the technology plan must be approved prior to commencement of service. 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(2)(vii).

⁴ 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(4).

⁵ 47 C.F.R. § 54.511(a).

In this remand, the Commission concluded that "USAC denied the requests for funding without sufficiently determining that the service providers improperly participated in the applicants' bidding process." The Commission stated that "there are many legitimate reasons why applicants could have used similar language in their applications..." As part of USAC's review of the applications, the Commission suggested that USAC "request that applicants submit documentation establishing the source of the language that is similar to that found in other applications." With respect to situations where due to the passage of time, such evidence may no longer be available, the Commission directed USAC to "look at the totality of the circumstances, including an explanation as to why the evidence may no longer be available. On a going-forward basis, we expect that applicants will have better documentation to support their applications." 11

USAC recently contacted each applicant and explained that for applicants who had chosen Send Technologies as their service provider, each entity provided documents with striking similarities to USAC to support their funding requests. For each type of document, USAC asked each applicant to explain how they prepared each type of document, to provide any supporting documentation, and to specify the individual who prepared the relevant documents.

In response to USAC's questions, you indicated that employees of your entity filled out and submitted the FCC Form 470 without assistance from a service provider. You further indicated that Mark Stevenson of Send Technologies mailed your FCC Form 470 certification to USAC. Another applicant whose FCC Form 470 contains identical Summaries of Needs or Services Requested has stated that Send Technologies assisted them in determining what services their entity sought bids for, as well as filling out and submitting the FCC Form 470.

⁶ See Request for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by MasterMind Internet Services, Inc., Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 16 FCC Rcd 4028, 4032-33, para. 10 (2000).

⁷ This form is to request discounts on those services and it contains the discount calculation worksheet and the discount funding request. The Form 471 generally must be filed each time a school or library orders telecommunications services, Internet access, or internal connections. See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.504, 54.511(c).

 $^{^8}$ Academy of Careers and Technology Order \P 6.

⁹ *Id*.

¹⁰ *Id.* ¶ 8.

¹¹ *Id.* ¶ 8 n.20.